

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,710	02/21/2002	Motohisa Nishina	0033-0789P	6233
·	7590 11/18/2003			
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			LEE, BE	ENNY T
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 11/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Section 1

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

10/078,710	Washington, D.C. 20231		
SERVAL MUNICIPALITY OF STATE O	The state of the second	A VALUE OF THE MET PARTY.	
		(XAMSIE)	
		ACCOUNT AND AND ACCOUNT	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION		
Z TH	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:		
a) /	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection		
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is a event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
Ap to	plicant's response to the final rejection, filed 16.00 based has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed place the application in condition for allowance:		
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:		
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.		
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).		
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).		
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
	NOTE:		
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.		
3.	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:		
	Claims allowed:		
	Claims objected to:		
	However;		
,	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Cl 2 on 117, second paragray!		
, —	The efficient subject to recognideration has been considered but does not avercome the rejection because		